Funded by UK Government

thanet district council

UKSPF Thanet Community Grant Funds - Scoring Matrix

Your submission will be scored and assessed. The shortlisted applications will be reviewed by the Grants Review Panel. A recommendation of award will be made to the Head of Finance and Head of Regeneration for their approval and final award.

Organisation						
Project title						
Application Number						
Section 1						
If the application **Fails** on question 1.1, 1.2 or 1.3; the application will not be considered further.						
1.1	All sections of the application form have been completed with the relevant information.	☐ PASS ☐ FAIL				
1.2	Project to deliver services in and/or support residents from at least one of the five priority communities.	☐ PASS ☐ FAIL				
1.3	Project to achieve at least one of the four priorities	☐ PASS ☐ FAIL				
Section 2						
Scoring Matrix (1-5 points per question) **5 fully meets the requirement 1 does not meet the requirements**						
Scoring Criteria		**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
2.1	Project clearly aligns with the aims of the funding					
2.2	Project has clearly defined aims and objectives					
2.3	Demonstrates the outcomes and benefits clearly					
2.4	Clearly explains how benefits will be measured					
2.5	Evidences an understanding of project delivery					

2.6	Project has a clear timeline and plan					
2.7	Project has been costed and is value for money					
2.8	Evidenced how they will measure success and evidence impact					
2.9	Properly governed and capable of delivering the project					
2.10	Sustainability and the environment has been considered					
Total score (0/50)						
Passed through to panel for final decision						

Applications with a score of 25 and above will be shortlisted for review.
Scores below 25 will not be considered further.

Any comments for the panel	

Officer Review

Name	
Job title	

Grant Fund Scoring Matrix

Scoring will range from 1 – 5. The following illustrates the meaning of each score:

Assessment	**Description**	
Superior	As Comprehensive, but to a significantly better degree and a response which goes above and beyond. Exceptional demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills and quality required to deliver an impactful social action project, with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	5
Comprehensive	A comprehensive demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, and quality required to deliver an impactful social action project, with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	4
Acceptable	An acceptable demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills and quality required to deliver an impactful social action project, with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	3
Limited	Contains minor shortcomings in the demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills & quality required to deliver an impactful social action project, with evidence to support the response, where appropriate and/or is inconsistent or in conflict with other proposals with little or no evidence to support the response. Minor reservations with the responses.	2
Inadequate	Satisfies the requirement but with considerable reservations of the grant applicant relevant ability, understanding, skills and quality required to deliver an impactful social action project, with little or no evidence to support the response.	1
no score = Fail	No response provided	